



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/646,796	11/21/2000	Aravinda Korala	KEN.001	1579
48234	7590	03/06/2009	EXAMINER	
MEREK, BLACKMON & VOORHEES, LLC			HAMILTON, LALITA M	
673 S. WASHINGTON ST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			3691	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/06/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/646,796	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KORALA, ARAVINDA
	<b>Examiner</b> Lalita M. Hamilton	<b>Art Unit</b> 3691

**—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —**

THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a)  The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

2.  The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

**AMENDMENTS**

3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c)  They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d)  They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_\_\_.

6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

**AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE**

8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant failed to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER**

11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  
See Continuation Sheet

12.  Note the attached *Information Disclosure Statement(s)*. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

13.  Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

/Lalita M Hamilton/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3691

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regard to the 112-2 rejection, the rejection has been withdrawn. The Applicant argues that neither reference discloses or teaches providing middleware software at an ATM or kiosk for interfacing an application with at least one device, wherein the middleware comprises a software component for each device type, each software component embodying an ability to interpret specific capabilities of a plurality of devices belonging to the device type that the software component is for and controlling within the ATM or kiosk by the software application devices belonging to the device type that the component is for. In response, Albert teaches providing middleware software at an ATM or kiosk for interfacing an application with at least one device, wherein the middleware comprises a software component for each device type, each software component embodying an ability to interpret specific capabilities of a plurality of devices belonging to the device type that the software component is for and controlling within the ATM or kiosk by the software application devices belonging to the device type that the component is for (The phone line connector 240 is also coupled to a ringing generator 244. The ringing generator 244 may be omitted if the terminal 100 does not take its phone line interface 132 into an off-hook state in response to a ringing signal. The ringing signal may be used by the wireless adaptor 200 to initiate a connection between the wireless adaptor 200 and the terminal 100 in cases where the terminal 100 responds to a ringing signal. Such a connection may be established to download new programs to the terminal 100 via the wireless adaptor 200 (col.11, lines 30-40). The wireless network allows for updates to all components to be downloaded directly to the machine. Therefore, the Examiner is interpreting Hillson and Albert as reading onto the invention substantially as claimed. The Applicant argues that Albert's transaction device in the whole machine or terminal, whereas the present application uses it to mean a device connected to an ATM, kiosk, or terminal. In response, the transaction device encompasses any component attached to the machine, and the Applicant's specification has not narrowed the interpretation of the term "transaction device".